

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,410	08/05/2003	Jorge A. Groiso	P/1825-11	7948
7:	590 10/03/2006	EXAMINER		
	FABER GERB & S	ARAJ, MICHAEL J		
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8403	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3733	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/634,410	GROISO, JORGE A.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael J. Araj	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for re	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 July 2006.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•		
Disposition of Claims		•		
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-20 and 23-31 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 		ration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	,		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		ated to builded Evenings		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	′	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/634,410

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richards (U.S. Patent No. 4,887,601).

Richards discloses a clip that has at least two engagement legs, provided with gripping surfaces (see Fig. 2), extending approximately parallel to one another including respective distal bridging tips and respective proximal insertion tips as well as a connecting bridge coupled to the distal bridging tips of the two engagement legs that are at least two elongated section extending along side on another. The elongated section extends along a non-linear trajectory and includes one of a depression and a dome (see Fig. 1). Also disclosed is that the engagement legs and the connecting bridges are constructed from a biocompatible material (Col. 4, lines 29-31).

Response to Arguments


Applicant's arguments filed July 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant uses the term of approximately parallel, which is a relative term. It is deemed that the legs of Richards extend approximately parallel to one

Application/Control Number: 10/634,410

Art Unit: 3733

another as seen in the figure below modified from Figure 1 in Richards. The dotted lines of the figure further show that the legs extend approximately parallel.

In response to Applicant's argument that the clip of Richards cannot function as the applicants, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).



Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3733

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

(A) MJA

EDUARDO C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER